

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

08/20/2008

F.O. Soc. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 225(3-1450) www.soc/90.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/681,413	03/30/2001	Mark E. Lundegren	85ER-00114	3205
7590 08/20/2008 Armstrong Teasdale LLP			8	EXAMINER	
	One Metropolit	an Square		PORTER, RACHEL L	
	St Louis, MO 6	5102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3626	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	09/681,413	LUNDEGREN, MARK E.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RACHEL L. PORTER	3626				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>RACHEL L. PORTER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Dan Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 38,880</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 06 August 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1,11,21 and 29.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Sturgis</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the shortcomings of the Sturgis reference in particular (e.g. no auction/bidding process) and also, how the Sturgis in combination with the previous references failed to address the claim limitation. Examiner will reconsider rejection in light of a timely filed formal filed written response. Noted that applicant's 6-month deadline is 8/28/08. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS THE FULL A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW AND OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBST	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				
	/Rachel L. Porter/					
	Examiner, Art Unit 3626	red				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080806